

MANY-BODY KINETIC SEMIPHENOMENOLOGICAL APPROACH TO THE PHENOMENA
CAUSED BY ION BOMBARDMENT IN SOLIDS.

Y. Khaït^{*}

Solid State Institute, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa
Israel.

Keywords: Low Energies.

ABSTRACT

The new collective treatment of the behaviour of low energy particles (LEPs) in the bulk and on the surface of solids is considered. Threshold energies \mathcal{E}_t for sputtering and particle displacements in the bulk are calculated. The novel expression for the probability exponentially depending on LEP energy $\mathcal{E} \gg \mathcal{E}_t$ of different processes in short-lived hot spots caused by the LEPs in and on solids is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The phenomena caused in solids and liquids by ion bombardment are not quite understood, especially in the case of low energy impinging particles (LEIP) /1-7/. The applicability of the binary collision grounded theory /5,6/ to the phenomena caused by the LEIP, meets with objections (e.g. see /3/). This theory cannot take into consideration short-lived collective LEIP-induced processes in small volumes of the material /12/. The earlier attempts /8-II/ to consider short-lived hot spots (SLHS) with the help of the equilibrium statistical mechanics have failed, as the latter is not applicable to the SLHS, that was mentioned in /12/; these attempts did not take into account momentum-transfer phenomena taking an essential role in considered processes. The suggested non-equilibrium kinetic treatment uses the non-equilibrium statistical thermodynamic and kinetic theories /13-15/ to consider collective phenomena in the SLHS and also to take into account momentum-transfer processes. For this purpose we have modified some ideas used earlier for the many-body non equilibrium treatment of the phenomena caused in and on solids by short-lived large energy fluctuations (SLEFs) /15-19/, by high energy radiation /20/ and by recoils /21/. In our earlier work /12/ we mainly consider the phenomena caused by ions with moderate energies $\mathcal{E} = Mv^2/2$, e.g. with $\mathcal{E} \approx 10^2 - 10^3$ eV, in this paper we concentrate mainly on the phenomena caused in and on the materials by LEIP with energies near (lower and above) the threshold ones.

^{*}The present address: Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

2. THE KINETIC BEHAVIOUR AND PROPAGATION OF LOW ENERGY PARTICLES IN SOLIDS.

Consider a monoatomic solid consisting of particles with mass m at temperatures $T > \Theta$ with effective coordination number z and with volume per particle $\Omega_0 = d^3 = Q/\gamma_0$, where Θ is the Debye temperature, Q is the elementary cell volume with γ_0 particles. Every particle suffers z collisions with its z neighbours for the time $\tau_D = h/k\Theta$ and the effective time between two subsequent collisions is $\delta t = h/zk\Theta$. Now consider a low energy particle (LEP) of the solid, which suddenly, at moment t_0 gets kinetic energy $U_0(t_0) = mv_0^2/2$ of a few eV. This energy can be transmitted to the LEP by a glancing collision with high-energy particle (HEP) whose velocity v and energy $\mathcal{E} = Mv^2/2$ satisfy the conditions

$$\Delta t \approx d/v \ll \delta t; \quad \text{or } v \gg \eta d z c_0 / \lambda_D; \quad \mathcal{E} \gg \eta^2 M d^2 c_0^2 z^2 / 2 \lambda_D^2; \quad \eta \gg 1, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda_D = hc/k\Theta$ is the Debye wave length, c_0 is the sound velocity, Δt is the time of interaction of the HEP with the particle of the solid. The LEP has the great short-lived initial deviation $\Delta U_0(t_0) \approx U_0(t_0) - 3kT \approx U_0(t_0)$ from equilibrium thermal excitation $3kT \ll U_0(t_0)$. It interacts with the z surrounding particles with energy $\varphi_{0i}(q_i)$, where q_0 is the coordinates of the LEP, $q_{(i)} = \{q_i\}$ are the coordinates of the surrounding particles, φ_{0i} is the pair interaction energy. Therefore, relaxation of the LEP energy is a many-body non-equilibrium non-stationary phenomenon involving many surrounding particles. Consider the following cases: 1. The LEP energy $U_0(t_0)$ is not sufficient to leave its initial site and to propagate in the solid. In this case the LEP performs a short-term non-linear non-steady motion with a large amplitude $a_p \approx d$ in volume $V_0 = 4\pi \rho_0^3/3$, during time τ of relaxation and redistribution of the energy $U_0(t_0)$ among $\Delta N_1 = N_1 - 1$ surrounding particles in the LEP vicinity with volume $\Omega_1 = V_1 - V_0$, where

$$V_1 \approx 4l^3 (1 + \rho_0/l)^3 \approx 4(\lambda_1/z)^3 \cdot [U_0(t_0)/k\Theta_1]^{3/2} \gg V_0, \quad (2)$$

$N_1 = \gamma_0 V_1/Q$, $l \approx c_0 \tau$ and values τ , l and V_1 can be calculated by the method similar to that used in the kinetic many-body SLEF theory /17/. Then one can obtain the expressions

$$\tau \approx \frac{[mU_0(t_0)]^{1/2}}{z \cdot |P_{e1}|} \approx (h/zk\Theta_1) \cdot [U_0(t_0)/k\Theta_1]^{1/2}; \quad l \approx c_1 \cdot \tau, \quad (3)$$

leading to Eq (2), where Θ_1 and c_1 are local values of Θ and c_0 in the LEP vicinity, $|P_{e1}|$ is the effective pair force acting between the LEP and one of the surrounding particles, where $|P_{e1}| \approx F_{01}/z$ and F_{01} is given by the equation analogous to that introduced in the SLEF theory /15,16/

$$F_{01} = - \int (1 + \gamma_{01}) \cdot \Delta_1(q_{(1)}, p_{(1)}) \cdot (\partial \varphi_{01} / \partial q_{(0)}) \cdot d\Gamma_{(1)}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$D_1(q_{(0)}, P_{(0)}; q_{(1)}, P_{(1)}; t) = D_0(q_{(0)}, P_{(0)}, t) \cdot (1 + Y_{01}) \cdot \Delta_1(q_{(1)}, P_{(1)}, t), (5)$$

D is the one-particle distribution function of the LEP with phase coordinates q and P , $\Delta_1(q_{(1)}, P_{(1)}, t)$ is the (N_1-1) -particle distribution function of (N_1-1) particles with phase coordinates, $q_{(1)} = \{q_j\}$, $P_{(1)} = \{P_j\}$, $j=1, 2, \dots, N_1-1$; $d\Gamma_1 = dq_{(1)} \cdot dp_{(1)} \cdot (1 + Y_{01})$ is the factor of short-term statistical coupling between the LEP and the surroundings. The LEP motion and the accompanying motion of the surrounding particles in the phase space, during the LEP energy relaxation are described by the system of coupled kinetic equations analogous to those used for the dynamic SLEF treatment /15,16/

$$\frac{d\chi_0}{dt} = \frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial t} + \{\chi_0, h_0\} + F_{01} \frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial P_{(0)}} = \frac{\partial F_{01}}{\partial P_{(0)}} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d\chi_1}{dt} = \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial t} + \{\chi_1, H_1\} + F_{12} (A \cdot \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial P_0} + \frac{\partial \chi_1}{\partial P_{(1)}}) = A \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial P_{(0)}} + \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial P_{(1)}} \quad (7)$$

.....

The two first Eqs (6) and (7) of the hierarchy describe the short-termed motion of the LEP and the surrounding correlative cluster of $\Delta N_1 = N_1 - 1$ particles in volume $\Omega_1 = V_1 - V_0$. The initial conditions in the considered case are different from those taking place in the SLEF /15,16/, where the initial conditions include short-term advanced fluxes directed to the fluctuating particle and transferring the fluctuational energy to it from the surroundings. In the LEP case the initial conditions are

$$U_0(t_0) = mv^2(t_0)/2, \ln D_{N-1}(t_0) = \alpha_{N-1} - \beta H_{N-1} \quad (8)$$

and they include $U_0(t_0)$ and the initial equilibrium distribution of the other $N-1$ particles of the system with Hamiltonian H_{N-1} , where $\chi_0 = -\ln D_0$, $\chi_1 = -\ln D_1$, H_1 is the Hamiltonian of N_1 particles, h_0 is the LEP Hamiltonian, $\{\dots\}$ is the Poisson brackets, F_{12} is the effective force acting on N_1 particles from the surroundings and is equal to

$$F_{12} = - \int (1 + Y_{12}) \cdot \Delta_2 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{12}}{\partial q_{(1)}} d\Gamma_2; |A| = \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{12}}{\partial q_0} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{12}}{\partial q_{(1)}} \right|^{-1} \ll 1 \quad (9)$$

$$D_N(q_{(0)}, P_{(0)}; q_{(1)}, P_{(1)}; q_{(2)}, P_{(2)}; t) = D_1 \cdot (1 + Y_{12}) \cdot G(q_{(2)}, P_{(2)}, t) \quad (10)$$

G is the distribution function of the external surroundings of the N_1 particles that acts on these particles with the effective force F_{12} given by Eq (9); $q_{(2)}$ and $P_{(2)}$ are the phase coordinates of $N-N_1$ particles of the external surroundings; $d\Gamma_2 = dq_{(2)} dp_{(2)} \cdot (1 + Y_{12})$ is the factor describing the statistical coupling between the N_1 particles and the rest of the system. The first stage of relaxation

described by Eqs (6),(7),etc. ,may not be described in local "macroscopic" terms:by heat conductivity,temperature,etc.The second stage of the relaxation at $t > \tau$ and for volumes $V_2 > V_1$ can be approximately described in such terms.The first two Eqs (6) and (7) can be approximately decoupled from the other equations of the hierarchy with the help of the procedure analogous to that suggested for the SLEF treatment /15,16/ and using the following conditions

$$N_1 \gg 1, \nu_{12} \ll 1, \rho_{12} < H_1 < H_2; \delta t \approx (\hbar / \alpha k e) \ll \tau \quad (II)$$

2. The LEP has energy $\Delta U_0^v(t_0)$, which satisfies the condition $E_1 < U_0^v(t_0) < E_2$ and which is sufficient for the LEP to propagate from the initial site to a neighbouring one at a distance $R_1 \approx d$, but is not enough to pass a way $R_2 \approx 2d$ to the next site. Since $d < \lambda$, the energy $U_0^v(t_0) > U(t_0)$ is released in approximately the same volume $V_2 \sim V_1$ and heats this volume to the larger local short-term temperature $T + \delta T_1$, where

$$\delta T_2 \approx [U_0^v(t_0) - \Delta E] / 3kN_1 > \delta T_0 \approx U_0(t_0) / 3kN_1 \quad (I2)$$

here ΔE is the difference between binding energies in the original LEP site (e.g. a lattice one) and the final one (e.g. an interstitial site). In this case the LEP behaviour can also be described by the system of equations of the type of Eqs (6),(7),etc. Leaving alone this problem here, we shall consider some related problems semiquantitatively. The LEP with higher $U_0(t_0)$ (e.g. $U_0(t_0) \approx 20\text{ev}$) can pass a way $R_1 \approx jd$ along the trajectory similar to a random walk one, and such a LEP stops at a distance $\Delta L \approx j^2 d$ from the initial point, here $j=2,3,\dots$. Then $U_0(t_0)$ is released in volume $\Delta V \approx \Delta L^3 \approx j^3 / 2 d^3$ with $\Delta N = \Delta V \rho_0 / Q$ particles and the SLHS with local short-term temperature $T + \delta T(U_0)$ is formed, where

$$\delta T(U_0) \approx \frac{U_0(t_0)}{3k \Delta N} \approx \frac{U_0(t_0)}{3k \cdot z \cdot j^{3/2}} \sim U_0^{(2-3\alpha)/2} \quad \text{with } \alpha \leq 2/3, \quad (I3)$$

when $j \sim U_0^\alpha$, where α can increase with U_0 , for low U_0 . Hence, δT increases with U_0 , when $\alpha < 2/3$, and δT does not depend on U_0 , when $\alpha = 2/3$. It can be expected that $\alpha < 2/3$, when $U_0 < \bar{U}_0$; here \bar{U}_0 is the LEP energy equal to several tens of ev, when particle displacement caused by the LEP begins to play an essential role. This means that the mechanism of the LEP energy dissipation is changed, when $U_0 > \bar{U}_0$. However, the behaviour of secondary particles displaced by the LEP with $U_0 > \bar{U}_0$ can be described through the treatment discussed above, when the secondary particles have energies $U_0^v < \bar{U}_0$. Since the LEP with $U_0 < \bar{U}_0$ forms the SLHS with the effective local temperature $T + \delta T(U_0)$, one can expect that the short-term probability of thermally activated processes in the SLHS is given by

$$\varphi(U_0) \sim \exp\left(\frac{\delta S}{k}\right) \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\delta W}{k [T + \delta T(U_0)]}\right\}, \quad (I4)$$

where $\delta W \gg kT$ and δS is the entropy change associated with the

SLHS processes which can also include short-lived phase and polymorphic transformations, electron transitions, etc., analogous to those caused by short-term fluctuations in small volumes of solids /18/. Hence, one can see that $\Psi(U_0)$ is the exponential function of U_0 when $U_0 < \tilde{U}_0$. If $U_0 > \tilde{U}_0$ (e.g. when $U_0 \approx (0.5 \text{ to } 1) \cdot 10^2 \text{ eV}$) new kinds of collective phenomena can be developed in the SLHS /12/. The considered approach can also be applied to the LEP on the surface and near it and can help to understand the sharp increase in sputtering yields with a change of energies \mathcal{E} of impinging ions near the threshold values \mathcal{E}_{ts} . Such sputtering can be considered as the evaporation of a surface particle from the surface SLHS having a high "temperature", e.g. when energy $\mathcal{E} \sim (20 \text{ to } 30) \text{ eV}$ is transmitted to a near-surface volume with $\Delta N \lesssim 10^2$ particles and $T = 500^\circ \text{K}$, one can get $\delta T > (6.6 \text{ to } 10) 10^3 \text{ }^\circ \text{K}$ and $(T + \delta T) > (1.1 \text{ to } 1.6) 10^3 \text{ }^\circ \text{K}$. A similar approach can be applied to liquids.

3. On Sputtering Threshold Energies.

A surface particle (SP) can be sputtered (or sublimated) when it gets kinetic energy $U_{sx} = \tilde{U}_{\perp r} + \tilde{U}_{\parallel}$ satisfying the conditions

$$\tilde{U}_{\perp r} = P_{\perp r}^2 / 2m > E_s = \alpha_s E_{ABS}; \quad \tilde{U}_{\parallel} = P_{\parallel}^2 / 2m < E_{ms} = \alpha_s E_{MBS}, \quad (15)$$

where $P_{\perp r}$ is the SP momentum component directed toward the vacuum, P_{\parallel} is the one parallel to the surface; $\alpha_s E_s$ and E_{ms} are the SP coordination number, binding and migration energies respectively, depending on the SP position, etc. The SP gets energy $\tilde{U}_{sx} \approx E_s + E_{ms}$ from the low energy impinging particle (LEIP), not fitting Eqs (I), during collision time $\Delta t_s \approx d_p / v$, which is so long that α_s neighbours come into play before the collision is over, and they can get energy $\Delta E_s = \xi \alpha_s \cdot \tilde{U}_{sx}$, where $\xi \leq 1$. Therefore, the threshold energy \mathcal{E}_{ts} is determined by the minimal total energy transfer from the LEIP to the SP and α_s surrounding particles during the collision, allowing conditions (15) to be satisfied for one of the particles involved. Then one can obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_{ts} \approx U_{sx} + \Delta E_s \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{E}_{ts} = A (\xi \cdot \alpha_s + 1) (E_s + E_{ms}), \quad (16)$$

where $A \approx 1$, $E_s < E_{ms}$. When α_s is averaged over different SP positions, one can get for many cases $\alpha_s \approx 2$ to 4 and $\mathcal{E}_{ts} \approx (3 \text{ to } 5) E_s$, in agreement with observations. For some special cases, when α_s is small, \mathcal{E}_{ts} can be less. A similar approach can be applied to estimate threshold energies \mathcal{E}_{tb} for displacement of bulk particles from the lattice site into neighbouring void, where $E_s = E_d - E_v$

$$\mathcal{E}_{tb} \approx \tilde{\Delta U}_b + \Delta E_b \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{E}_{tb} = B (\xi_b \cdot \alpha_b + 1) \cdot E_b, \quad B \approx 1; \quad \xi_b \leq 1 \quad (17)$$

E_d is the energy of self-diffusion, E_v is vacancy formation energy $\Delta E_b = \xi_b \alpha_b \tilde{U}_b$. Since $\alpha_b \approx (2 \text{ to } 4) \alpha_s$ and $E_b \approx (2 \text{ to } 3) E_s$, one can expect $\mathcal{E}_{tb} \leq \mathcal{E}_{ts}$, that fits the observations. Essential differences between collisions of material atoms with a high-energy particle satisfying Eqs (I), with the LEIP that does not satisfy Eqs (I), depend on parameters entering Eqs (I). These parameters vary in relatively wide intervals: $\alpha_s = 3$ to 12, $c_0 = (1 \text{ to } 5) 10^7 \text{ cm/sec}$, $\theta \approx (1 \text{ to } 10) 10^{20} \text{ K}$ and $M = (2 \text{ to } 10^2) M_H$. This gives significant variety of materials and of

numerical values of M, v and ξ satisfying Eqs (I), when the binary collision approximation, at least for head-on collisions, becomes applicable. However, as a rule, it takes place for $\xi \geq 10^3 \div 10^4$ ev.

REFERENCES

- /I/ M.Kaminsky, Atomic & Ionic Impact Phenomena on Metal Surfaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1965)
- /2/ G.Carter and J.S.Celligon, Ion Bombardment of Solids, Heinemann Educational Books Ltd, London (1968)
- /3/ G.K.Wehner and G.S.Anderson, in Handbook of Thin Film Technology, ed. by L.I.Maissel & R.Glang (McGraw Hill Bk Comp., New York, 1970)
- /4/ H.Oechsner, Appl.Phys.8, 185 (1975)
- /5/ P.Sigmund, Phys.Rev184, 383 (1969); 187, 768 (1969)
- /6/ R.S.Nelson, The Observation of Atomic Collisions in Crystalline Solids (North Holland Publ., Amsterdam, 1968)
- /7/ P.Sigmund, Rev.Roum.Phys.17, 823 (1972); 17, 1029 (1972)
- /8/ P.L.Kapitza, Phil.Mag.45, 989 (1923)
- /9/ A.V.Hippel, Ann.Phys.81, 1043 (1926); 86, 1006 (1928)
- /10/ R.M.Sillito, Proc.Phys.Soc.60, 453 (1958)
- /11/ C.H.Townes, Phys.Rev.65, 310 (1944)
- /12/ Y.Khait, Papers 4th ESCAMPIG, Essen (1978), p.59; Bull.Israel Phys Soc.24, K-6 (1979)
- /13/ D.N.Zubarev, The Non-Equilibrium Statistical Thermodynamics, Moscow (1971)
- /14/ G.V.Chester, Reports on Progress in Physics, v.26, p.411 (1963)
- /15/ Y.Khait, Proc.13th Internat.Conf.Statistical Physics, Adam Hilger Ltd, Bristol (1978), p.722
- /16/ Y.Khait, Bull.Israel Phys.Soc.23, I-9, I-10, I-II (1978); 24, G-2 (1979)
- /17/ Y.Khait, Phys.Stat.Solidi (b) 86, 409 (1978)
- /18/ Y.Khait, Phys.Stat.Solidi (b) 89, M2, K-III (1978)
- /19/ Y.Khait, Proc.7th Intern.Vac.Congr.& 3rd Internat.Conf.Solid Surfaces, Vienna (1977), p.711
- /20/ Y.Khait, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 134, 883 (1960); in the book: Radiolysis of Hydrocarbons, ed. by A.V.Topchiev & L.S.Polak, Moscow (1962), English translation: Elsevier (1964)
- /21/ B.N.Finkelstein and Y.Khait, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 144, 85 (1962)