# Vibrational and gas heating dynamics in molecular plasma assessed by Thomson and Raman scattering

<u>A. van de Steeg<sup>1</sup>, T. Butterworth<sup>1</sup>, G. van Rooij<sup>1</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup> Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, De Zaale 20, 5612 AJ Eindhoven, The Netherlands

**Abstract:** Rotational and vibrational temperatures during ignition of pure CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> microwave plasmas are measured by Raman scattering. A strong vibrational nonequilibrium is observed, followed by rapid gas heating. V-T relaxation mostly explains the observed temperatures in CH<sub>4</sub>, but not of N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Thomson scattering reveals an electron temperature with limited selectivity to vibrations in N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, indicating an important role of electronic excitation in N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in the gas heating during the ignition of the plasma.

**Keywords:** Microwave plasma, Thomson scattering, vibrational excitation, electron temperature, Raman scattering.

#### **1.Introduction**

Plasma reforming of stable molecules might be attractive as an alternative to conventional gas reforming processes by offering low-inertia, scalability and potentially very high efficiencies [1]. The high efficiencies can be reached by tuning the electron temperature, and thus the electron energy loss fraction, to deposit the majority of the power into vibrations, as illustrated in Figure 1. Molecular vibrations are what unlocks the high efficiencies of plasma processes. Three stable molecules for three plasma reforming processes are studied, N2, CH4 and CO2. Nitrogen can be dissociated through vibrations, breaking the strong triple bond between the atoms, with applications in nitrogen fixation for fertilizer production. Methane reforming to value-added chemical compounds such as ethylene and benzene is possible with a high efficiency and selectivity, which holds great promise for the petrochemical industry [2]. Carbon dioxide dissociation into carbon monoxide for solar fuel production opens a potential route for energy storage in hydrocarbons produced from CO<sub>2</sub>. Key in all of these processes is minimizing heat production, for which the electron temperature is a crucial parameter. However, V-T relaxation is an inherent heat source even when all energy goes into vibrations. In this work, pulsed microwave plasmas are studied to unravel these mechanics during ignition, when the gas is at room temperature initially and the effect of product formation is limited.

### 2. Methodology

A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 2. Microwave pulses of  $200\mu$ s are generated by a solidstate power supply and are transported by waveguides to a glass tube, in which the plasma is generated. A three-stub tuner and sliding short are used to tune the field. Tangential gas injection leads to a swirl flow in the tube, which stabilizes the plasma. A 10Hz, frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser of 600mJ per pulse is focused into the centre of the plasma. A 100mm focal distance lens collects and focuses the scattered light into a fiber bundle.



Figure 1 Electron energy loss fractions to vibrations for  $N_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  as a function of  $T_e$ . Calculations done with a Boltzmann solver, cross sections from [3] [4].

The fibers relay the scattered light into a 1m focal distance custom built Littrow-configuration spectrometer with an 1800l/mm grating. The spectrally resolved signal is captured by an em-ICCD camera.

In laser scattering experiments rejection of stray light and filtering of the Rayleigh is of extreme importance. Both effects usually are orders of magnitude stronger than Raman or Thomson scattering. For this purpose, a sharp edge longpass filter is used to block the laser frequency. The filter is calibrated by the rotational Raman signal of  $CO_2$  at room temperature, where the spectral response of the filter is fitted with a hyperbolic tangent function, which is illustrated in Figure 3.

Thomson scattering, the elastic scattering of light on free electrons, is a non-intrusive, *in-situ*, time and spatially resolved diagnostic. The scattered light intensity scales linearly with the electron density, provided no collective effects are at play as is expected for our conditions [5].

The electron temperature is calculated assuming a Maxwellian electron velocity distribution, which means that the Thomson spectrum reduces to:

$$s_T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}s_T} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2}{s_T^2}\right).$$
 eq. 1



Figure 2 Schematic of the experimental setup used for these experiments, showing a vacuum system with a glass tube where the plasma is created, through the centre of which a laser fires to do laser scattering experiments.

Here,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength,  $\lambda_0$  the laser fundamental and  $s_T$  the 1/e width of the Gaussian, which depends upon  $T_e$  as:

$$s_T = \sqrt{\frac{4k_b T_e}{m_e c^2}} \lambda_0. \qquad \text{eq. 2}$$

The electron density is calculated based on a calibration of the rotational Raman signal of  $N_2$  at known pressure and temperature[6]:

$$n_e = \frac{I_T \sigma_{R_{N_2}}}{I_P \sigma_T}.$$
 eq. 3

Here  $I_{T,R}$  is the scattered light intensity of respectively the Thomson and Raman scattered light,  $\sigma_{R_{N_2}}$  is the differential cross section of rotational Raman scattering for all rotational lines, and  $\sigma_T$  is the differential cross section of Thomson scattering. The ratio of differential cross sections can be evaluated to  $8.15 \cdot 10^{-5}$ .

Thomson scattering on molecular plasmas is not often considered, since generally molecules emit rotational Raman scattering. Fortunately, methane has a point-group symmetry so that its depolarization reduces to exactly zero [7]. Consequently, there is no depolarization of the Rayleigh scattering, but more importantly no rotational Raman signal obscuring the Thomson scattered light.



Figure 3 (a) Rotational Raman signal of CO<sub>2</sub> with and without the filter and (b) the fitted response of the filter together with the original signal.



Figure 4 (a) Image of recorded spectrum, together with (b) actual Thomson spectrum and Gaussian fit overlaid. The filter response is taken into account, hence the sharp rise towards 532nm. The electron temperature for this spectrum is  $1.8\pm0.2$ eV. The electron density is  $7.5\pm3\cdot10^{19}m^{-3}$ .

A Thomson scattering spectrum measured in methane is shown in Figure 4. The fit is manually stopped at 533.2nm, up to that point the calibrated filter response is accurate. Spectral signature of products can be seen clearly at  $\lambda > 536$ nm. With this method the electron temperature can be measured with relatively high accuracy, the electron density however is prone to errors, since only a fraction of the Thomson signal is recorded. Three checks are passed to confirm that the signal originates from Thomson scattering. Firstly, the polarization is checked to follow the laser polarization. Secondly it is checked that the signal disappears if either the camera gate is out-of-sync with the laser or when the plasma is off. Thirdly, a similar signal should be found in a continuous argon discharge.

#### 3. Results

Figure 5 shows the Thomson scattering results for methane and the absorbed power profile. The absorbed power of the plasma changes over time due to the rapidly developing plasma conditions, which alter the plasma impedance, and thus the matching quality. The error of the electron temperature is estimated based on the quality of the fit at low  $n_e$  and high  $T_e$ .

Observed is an elevated electron temperature at the start of the pulse. The actual values indicate that this is outside of the parameter range in which energy transfer to vibrational modes is dominating. At electron temperatures of roughly  $3\pm0.4$ eV the selectivity of electron energy to vibrations is in the order of 50%.

Detection of Thomson scattering signatures in  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  is more challenging. The Thomson scattered light has a lower intensity than the Raman signal. We take advantage of the fact that the rotational Raman signal is partly depolarized, whereas the Thomson scattering is completely polarized. Subtracting the two polarizations of the scattered light after taking the depolarization ratio into account eliminates the Raman signal. The approach is illustrated in Figure 6 and highlights the possibility to measure Thomson scattering in a molecular plasma.



Figure 5 (a) Forward and absorbed power, and (b) the electron density and temperature for pulsed  $\mu$ -wave CH<sub>4</sub> plasma.

Analysis of the scatter spectra yields an electron temperature of  $1.5\pm0.4\text{eV}$  at  $70\mu$ s into the pulse, which is lower compared to the methane pulse. Nevertheless, Figure 1 shows more energy going to electronic excitation at a lower electron temperature. For CH<sub>4</sub>, with a  $T_e$  2.2eV this is roughly 90%, whereas for N<sub>2</sub> at 1.5eV this is only 70%.

Unfortunately, Thomson scattering contributions could not be retrieved throughout the plasma pulse in N<sub>2</sub>. At the onset of the plasma, during the initial  $50\mu$ s, the electron density was too low to gain sufficient signal-to-noise. As far as it was measurable, electron temperatures were found to be lower in N<sub>2</sub> compared to CH<sub>4</sub>.

So far, the approach could not be successfully applied to  $CO_2$  due to its much larger rotational Raman cross section [8] and more complicated and dense rotational spectrum. Where the rotational Raman spectrum of N<sub>2</sub> could be completely resolved, this was not possible for  $CO_2$ .

Gas heating dynamics were studied by retrieving the temperature evolutions of  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  over the onset of the discharge from time resolved Raman scattering measurements.

For CH<sub>4</sub> the temperatures are obtained by measuring and fitting the vibrational Raman spectrum in the pentad region. For N<sub>2</sub> the vibrational Raman spectrum of its sole vibrational mode is analysed to give both vibrational and rotational temperatures. For CO<sub>2</sub> vibrational and rotational Raman give insight into the temperature of the asymmetric stretch mode, T<sub>3</sub>, the bending and symmetric modes, T<sub>12</sub>, and the rotational temperature, T<sub>rot</sub>. The results of these measurements are shown in Figure 7.



Figure 6 (a) The polarized, depolarized and Thomson signals as measured in a pulsed N2 discharge. (b) The Thomson signal with filter response taken into account together with a Gaussian fit over the data, giving a  $T_e$  of  $1.5\pm0.4\text{eV}$  and  $n_e$  of  $1\pm0.5\cdot10^{19}m^{-3}$ .



Figure 7 Rotational and vibrational temperature evolutions of (a)  $N_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$  and (c)  $CH_4$  assessed by Raman scattering.

We conclude that the three different gases heat up in significantly different ways. Nitrogen exhibits the largest vibrational excitation, with very limited initial gas heating. Whereas in N<sub>2</sub> the vibrational temperature reaches more than  $10^4$ K, for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> this is only  $10^3$ K, after which the non-equilibrium collapses. In N<sub>2</sub>, a rapid temperature increase is observed from 50-70µs, probably leading to thermalisation later in the pulse. While at the onset of the pulse the electron temperature is not suited for selective vibrational excitation, still this is the phase where the nonequilibrium is observed for all three gases. The large nonequilibrium observed in N<sub>2</sub> can partly be explained by the much smaller vibrational heat capacity of N<sub>2</sub>, since it only has one vibrational mode. This difference is however not enough to completely explain the recorded temperatures in  $N_2$ .

For the few time-steps in the plasma where Thomson signal is obtainable for  $N_2$ , we compare the electron temperature with that of methane. For  $N_2$  we see a lower  $T_e$ , but a larger fraction of power deposited into electronic excitation. However, quenching of electronic states in  $N_2$  takes much longer than in CH<sub>4</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>, leading to an electronic energy reservoir, whereas the other molecules have short lived electronic states, giving more direct heat production.

For CH<sub>4</sub> the equilibration of  $T_{vib}$  and  $T_{rot}$  coincides almost perfectly with the characteristic timescale of V-T relaxation at 25mbar and 300K, which is 50 $\mu$ s [9]. This hints at a limited effect of heat produced by other processes. For N<sub>2</sub> the V-T timescales are orders of magnitude longer [10], which strongly suggests that the heat generation near the end of the pulse is caused by other processes than V-T relaxation, such as atomic N recombination.

In CH<sub>4</sub> it is always assumed there is an equilibrium between the vibrational modes, since the V-V equilibration timescales are sub-microsecond. This is not the case for CO<sub>2</sub>, where not only a non-equilibrium between vibration and rotation is observed, but also a non-equilibrium between the different vibrational modes. V-T relaxation in CO<sub>2</sub> is mediated by the first excited bending mode,  $(0\ 1^1\ 0)$ . Relaxation of the first asymmetric stretch level  $(0 0^{0} 1)$ , to the bending mode takes 150µs, while relaxation of this first excited bending mode to translations takes  $270\mu s$  [11], it is therefore in the case of CO<sub>2</sub> important to know if the energy is deposited into the bending modes or in the asymmetric mode. Taking the small heat capacity of the asymmetric mode into account we see that, while the temperature rise is much smaller initially, most energy goes into the bending and symmetric modes. It cannot be concluded that the bulk of the energy is deposited into the Fermi resonant vibrational modes, these measurements only give temperatures at a specific time. Thus no direct information is obtained on the energy fluxes, a kinetic model would be required for this, which is outside the scope of this work.

The V-T time of the bending mode at different temperatures is known, at  $T_{rot} = 900$ K, which is reached

after only  $60\mu$ s, the V-T time is  $80\mu$ s [12]. If the assumption is made all V-T relaxation goes through the bending mode this shows that V-T relaxation alone cannot account for the heat production in the CO<sub>2</sub> plasma.

## 4. General conclusions

Thomson and Raman scattering were used to obtain a detailed insight in the heating dynamics in the ignition phase of microwave plasmas. For  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  a strong vibrational non-equilibrium is observed during ignition.

This vibrational non-equilibrium in  $CH_4$  is compared with the electron temperature evolution measured by Thomson scattering, where a decreasing electron temperature is observed, going from 3eV to 1.8eV.

Thomson scattering spectra have been measured for the first time in a pure  $N_2$  plasma and yielded lower electron temperatures compared to pure  $CH_4$ . For  $N_2$  this still means that significant energy goes into electronic excitation. Nevertheless, the strongest non-equilibrium is observed in  $N_2$ .

The ignition of  $CO_2$  appears similar to that of methane, forming a short-lived non-equilibrium. Unlike methane, a difference is observed between the populations of the different vibrational modes. The heat production in  $CH_4$ can almost completely be explained by V-T collisional energy exchange. For N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> the heating behaviour cannot purely be explained by V-T processes, otherwise the vibrational non-equilibrium would be sustained for longer, hinting at the importance of lowering the electron temperature.

#### 5. References

- A. Bogaerts and E. C. Neyts, "Plasma Technology: An Emerging Technology for Energy Storage," ACS Energy Lett., vol. 3, pp. 1013– 1027, 2018.
- [2] A. Fridman, *Plasma Chemistry*, 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [3] A. V. Phelps and L. C. Pitchford, "Anisotropic scattering of electrons by N2 and its effect on electron transport," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 31, no. 5, p. 2932, 1985.
- [4] W. L. Morgan, "A Critical Evaluation of Low-Energy Electron Impact Cross Sections for Plasma Processing Modeling. II: CF4,SiH4 and CH4," *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 477–493, 1992.
- [5] H. J. Van Der Meiden, J. W. M. Vernimmen, K. Bystrov, K. Jesko, M. Y. Kantor, and G. De Temmerman, "Collective Thomson scattering system for determination of ion properties in a high flux plasma beam," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 109, no. 26, 2016.
- [6] E. A. D. Carbone, "The radial contraction of argon microwave plasmas studied by Thomson scattering," J. Phys. D Appl. Phys., vol. 45, no. 345203, 2012.
- [7] M. A. Thomas and H. L. Welsh, "The Raman Spectrum of Methane," *Can. J. Phys.*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 1291–1303, 1960.
- [8] C. M. Penney, R. L. St. Peters, and M. Lapp, "Absolute rotational Raman cross sections for N2, O2 and CO2," J. Opt. Soc. Am., vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 712–716, 1974.
- M. H. Cabral, "Vibrational Relaxation Times in Methane," 1976.
- [10] V. Blackman, "Vibrational relaxation in oxygen and nitrogen," J. Fluid Mech., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 61–85, 1956.
- [11] C. B. Moore, R. E. Wood, B.-L. Hu, and J. T. Yardley, "Vibrational Energy Transfer in CO2 Lasers," vol. 4222, no. 1967, 1973.
- [12] E. H. Carnevale, C. Carey, and G. Larson, "Ultrasonic Determination of Rotational Collision Numbers and Vibrational Relaxation Times of Polyatomic Gases at High Temperatures," J. Chem. Phys., vol. 47, no. 8, p. 2829, 1967.