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#### Abstract

The numerical simulation for understanding the HFCVD process has been carried out as considering dissociation and recombination of hydrogen gas by gas-surface reactions. This numerical method used in this simulation could consider simultaneously the gas-surface reactions and gas-phase reactions. The production of hydrogen atom was anticipated, which showed good agreement with prior studies. And the concentration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ which is known as the important species for producing diamond film was very similar with the measured result.
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## 1.Introduction

HFCVD (Hot Filament Chemical Vapor Deposition) process has been used to produce a diamond film. Although it is not clearly demonstrated that the diamond is synthesized on a substrate, favourable conditions for producing high quality film have been found through a lot of studies. The concentration profile of activated species near the substrate is one of them [1-6], which is significantly affected on temperature distribution between filament and substrate [7-9].

The numerical approaches had been developed to analyze the correlation between the temperature and concentration by using CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics) code with considering chemical reactions [2,3,10-13]. Among various physical and chemical phenomena in the HFCVD process, production of hydrogen atom by hydrogen dissociation is the most important phenomenon in order to similarly reproduce the environment of HFCVD process. Since production of hydrogen atom is almost achieved on the filament surface, however, this phenomenon is not easily to be able to be considered in the numerical simulation. Thus the production rate of hydrogen atom has been assumed as the constant in former numerical studies [12,13].

In this present work, the numerical method which is able to include the heterogeneous gas-surface reaction in conjunction with gas-phase reaction has been introduced in order to anticipate the production of hydrogen atom in the HFCVD process.

## 2. Simulation Method

In order to verify the numerical method used in this simulation, the calculated mass fraction of hydrogen atom and hydrogen molecules on the filament and concentration distribution of hydrogen atom were compared with the results calculated by Martinez et al. [13], and the calculated concentration profile of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from filament to substrate was compared with the results measured by Whal et al. [6].


Fig. 1. The two-dimensional geometry for the reactor reported by Whal [6]

Fig. 1 is the two-dimensional geometry used in this work, which was modelled on the reactor reported by Whal et al. [6]. The reactor has one filament with diameter of 0.2 mm and a substrate with width of 4 mm and thickness of 0.25 mm . And the distance from filament to substrate is 7 mm .

Table 1 indicates the gas-phase governing equations. Equation (1) states the total mass conservation equation. Equation (2) to (5) describe the components of the gas velocity ( $v_{x}$ and $v_{y}$ ), the gas temperature ( T ) and the species concentration (Y), respectively, as function of position inside the reaction chamber.

The gas-phase reactions and heterogeneous gas-surface reactions were be able to be applied by using Arrhenius equation. The gas-phase and gas-surface reaction

Table 1. Gas-phase governing equations [13]

| Overall continuity : <br> Momentum in $x$ <br> direction : | $-\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\rho v_{x} \vec{v}\right)-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}_{x}-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Momentum in $y$ <br> direction : | $-\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\rho v_{x} \vec{v}\right)-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\tau}_{x}-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}+\rho g_{y}=0$ |
| Energy : | $-\rho \vec{v} \cdot C_{P} \vec{\nabla} T-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{q}-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{i}} h_{i} M_{i} \dot{\omega}_{i}=0$ |
| Species continuity of <br> species $i$ : | $-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{n}_{i}+M_{i} \dot{\omega}_{i}=0$ |

mechanism and the coefficient for rate constant used in Arrhenius equation are shown in the table 2.

The filament temperature is assumed as constant value of $2,300 \mathrm{~K}$. The inlet gas were composed of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, of which ratio were $99.5 \%$ and $0.5 \%$ (vol. \%), respectively. The ANSYS-FLUENT (Ver19.2) was used to calculate the equations (1) to (5)

Table 2. Reaction mechanism and coefficients for rate constant used in this work

|  | Gas-phase reaction [13] | $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\beta^{\text {a }}$ | $E_{a}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $5.52 \times 10^{42}$ | -8.90 | 452.09 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $1.34 \times 10^{33}$ | -6.18 | 451.96 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $6.28 \times 10^{37}$ | -8.24 | 186.89 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $3.12 \times 10^{35}$ | -7.61 | 31.73 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $2.20 \times 10^{4}$ | 3.0 | 36.63 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{16}$ | 0 | 133.10 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{13}$ | 0 | 96.29 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{14}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $4.00 \times 10^{13}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $1.10 \times 10^{14}$ | 0 | 35.58 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $5.40 \times 10^{2}$ | 3.5 | 21.81 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $9.20 \times 10^{16}$ | -0.6 | 0 |
|  | Gas-surface reaction on filament [14] | $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\beta^{\text {a }}$ | $E_{a}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\text {b }}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{H}$ | $1.69 \times 10^{12}$ | 0.5 | 20.736 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\text {b }}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $9.03 \times 10^{12}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{13}$ | 0 | 82.944 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.72 \times 10^{13}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gas-suface reaction on substrate [15] | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {a }}$ | $\beta^{\text {a }}$ | $E_{a}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{S}^{\text {b }}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{H}$ | $1.51 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.12 | 14.93 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ss}^{\text {b }}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $1.39 \times 10^{12}$ | 0 | 7.00 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.70 \times 10^{15}$ | -0.72 | 95.48 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.13 \times 10^{11}$ | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Arrhenius parameters for the rate constants in the forward direction written as the form $k=A T^{\beta} \exp \left(E_{a} / R T\right)$. The units of $A$ are given in terms of moles, cubic centimeters, and seconds, $E_{a}$ is in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{Ss}$ and SH mean an activated site of filament, activated site of substrate and hydrogenated surface site, respectively.

## 3. Result and Discussion

In the case of the simulation of Martinez et al. [13], which was the research about the simulation referring the reactor reported by Whal [6], the production of hydrogen atom on the filament is assumed by using constant mass fraction of hydrogen atom and hydrogen molecules on filament of 0.036 and 0.933 , respectively. As a result of the simulation used in this work, the mass fraction of hydrogen atom and hydrogen molecules near the filament was 0.008 and 0.924 , respectively. While the value of hydrogen molecules was similar with the value calculated by Martinez et al. [13], there was a little difference among mass fraction results of the hydrogen atom. This difference


Fig. 2. Concentration distribution of hydrogen atom calculated by this simulation method.


Fig. 3. Concentration distribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ calculated by this simulation method.


Fig. 4. The comparison between calculated and measured number density of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$; the measured result was extracted from Ref. [6].
could be caused by the fact that some of hydrogen atom was been adsorbed on filament, creating the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{H}$. While this absorption was took into account in the numerical method used in this work, the method by Martinez et al. neglected it. The concentration distribution of hydrogen atom shown in the Fig. 2 is also be able to be presented as the reason indicating the verification of this numerical
method. Although the concentration of hydrogen atom near the substrate was largely calculated, the overall order and distribution appearance were equal with the results calculated by Martinez et al. [13].

Fig. 3 is the concentration distribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, which also indicates the accuracy of this method. The hydrogen atom was known as taking role of triggering the homogeneous chemical reaction [3,11,13]. Thus, only if the production of the hydrogen atom is reflected well, the concentration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ also is able to be accurate. In the case of this simulation, the number density of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ was similar with the result measured by Whal et al. [6] like shown in the Fig. 4. Therefore, the numerical method that the hydrogen dissociation by the heterogeneous gas-surface reaction on filament is considered in conjunction with gasphase reaction has been verified by demonstrating the comparison between calculated results by this work and results calculated and measured by Martinez et al. and Whal et al.

## 4. Conclusion

The numerical method which is able to consider gassurface reactions in conjunction with gas-phase reactions has been developed by this work. By comparing with other researches, this method has been verified, showing the good agreement about the concentration distribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and the mass fraction of the hydrogen atom on the filament. This method is able to be applied to correctly predict the concentration distribution of chemical species and temperature distribution in designing the large-scale HFCVD process.
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